

Annual Report – Accredited Member

Institution:	European University
Academic Business Unit:	
Academic Year:	2012-13

International Assembly for Collegiate Business Education 11374 Strang Line Road Lenexa, Kansas 66215 USA

IACBE ANNUAL REPORT

For Academic Year: 2012-13

This annual report should be completed for your academic business unit and submitted to the IACBE by November 1 of each year.

General Information

Inst	itution's Name:	European University			
Inst	itution's Address:	Le Forum.Grand-Ru	ie 3		
City	and State or Country	Montreux, Switzerl	and	Zip or Postal Code 1820	
Nar	me of Submitter:	Christine Clarke			
Title	e:	Dean			
You	r Email Address:	c.clarke@euruni.ed	lu		
	elephone (with country code if utside of the United States): +41 21 964 8464				
Dat	e Submitted:	October 2013			
Tot	Fotal Headcount Enrollment of the Institution for 2012-13: 129				
		<u>Accredita</u>	tion Information		
If applicable, when is your next institutional actions.		accreditation site visit	?	Year	
2. When is your next reaffirmation of IACBE accred		reditation site visit?	2017	_ Year	
3.	3. Provide the website address for the location of your public notification of accreditation by the IACBE: www.euruni.edu				
4.	Provide the website address the location of your public disclosure of student learn		www.euruni.edu		

5. If your accreditation letter from the IACBE Board of Commissioners contains "notes" that identified areas needing corrective action, please list the number of the IACBE's Accreditation Principle for each note in the table below. Indicate whether corrective action has already been taken or that you have made plans to do so. (Insert additional rows as necessary.) This information was included in the 2011-12 report but the commission has yet to give a response.

Commissioners' Notes	Action Already Taken	Action Planned
Principle 1. Outcomes	Following the IACBE site visit,	To continue to use Peregrine
Assessment – substantially	students in their final semester	incoming and outgoing tests.
compliant.	took the Peregrine outgoing test.	To continue engaging faculty
"The institution must report to	The results are presented in	members in developing,

Commissioners' Notes	Action Already Taken	Action Planned
IACBE assessment results realized from the Peregrine tests recentlyadded to the assessment process. Also, the institution is to report on the process in place for including and engaging faculty members in the outcomes assessment cycle. These reports are to be submitted with the 2012 annual report."	Appendix 1. As this is the only data available to us, we are waiting for at least a second historical point to make meaningful analysis and take action steps, as required. A report concerning faculty engagement is presented as Appendix 2.	improving and standardizing outcomes assessment across campuses
Principle 3.5. Curriculum Review and Improvement. Substantially Compliant. "Work continues on faculty teams working on curriculum review and mapping content progression. The academic business unit is to provide an up date in the 2012 annual report."	As this aspect is substantially linked to outcomes assessment, the information is contained in Appendix 2.	To continue to review and improve curriculum to ensure its relevance and coordination. The objective of this is also faculty team building and to ensure that faculty members have an overview of the complete program curriculum.
Principle 4.1. Faculty Qualifications. Partially Compliant "The percentage of doctorally taught credit hours is gradually increasing and EU Switzerland is focusing faculty recruitment efforts on doctorally-qualified candidates while also insisting on active professional experience. The academic business unit is to report on the progress of theses initiatives in the 2012 annual report."	The percentage of doctorally taught credit hours has been increasing annually (see appendix 3 for faculty coverage table showing the past 4 academic years). As can be appreciated from the figures, the percentage has increased approximately 18% over the 4 year time frame, representing almost a 100 per cent increase.	To continue to focus on attracting and retaining doctorally-qualified faculty members who have an active professional experience.
Principle 4.5. Faculty Development. Substantially Compliant. "The academic business unit is to report to IACBE the status and success of ongoing workshop development and delivery. The report is to be included with the 2012 annual report."	The report concerning workshops appears in Appendix 4.	To continue to offer relevant workshops and to increase faculty member attendance
Principle 7.7. External Accountability. Substantially Compliant. "IACBE-mandated accreditation language must appear on the institutional website. IACBE is to be notified when this correction has been made."	The wording on the website has been modified to accurately reflect IACBE-mandated accreditation language.	The IT department has been instructed to ensure that this wording remains in line with IACBE regulations when any changes are made to the site.

Administrative Information

	Name:	Dr. Dirk Craen		
		-		
	Title:	President		
	Highest Earned Degree:	Doctorate	Email: <u>d.craen@eu</u>	ıruni.edu
	Telephone (with country		Fax (with country	
	code if outside of the United States):	+41 21 964 84 64	code if outside of the United States):	+41 21 964 84 68
	Check here if this re	epresents a change from	the previous year.	
		,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
2.	Provide the following in institution:	formation pertaining t	o the current chief acade	emic officer of your
	Name:	Luc Craen		
	Title:	Vice President & Campu	us Manager, Switzerland	
	Highest Earned Degree:	MBA	Email: l.craen@eui	runi.edu
	Telephone (with country		Fax (with country	
	code if outside of the United States):	+41 22 779 26 71	code if outside of the United States):	+41 22 779 26 73
	Check here if this re	epresents a change from	the previous year.	
			, ,	
3.	Provide the following in	formation pertaining t	o the current head of yo	ur academic business unit:
	Name:	Felix Campbell		
		Academic & Faculty Coordinator		
	Title:	Academic & Faculty Cod	ordinator	
	Title: Highest Earned Degree:	Academic & Faculty Coo	ordinator Email: f.campbell@	∮euruni.edu
				Peuruni.edu

	Name:	Christine Clarke		
	Title:	Academic Dean		
		PGCE (Master's		
	Highest Earned Degree:	Equivalent)	Email: <u>c.clarke@eu</u>	ıruni.edu
	Telephone (with country code if outside of the		Fax (with country code if outside of	
	United States):	+34 932018171	the United States):	+34 932017935
	Check here if this re	epresents a change from t	he previous year.	
5.	Provide the following in	formation pertaining to		representative to the IACBE:
5.	Provide the following in Name:			representative to the IACBE:
5.	Provide the following in	formation pertaining to		representative to the IACBE:
5.	Provide the following in Name:	formation pertaining to		representative to the IACBE:
5.	Provide the following in Name: Title:	formation pertaining to	o your current alternate	representative to the IACBE:

4. Provide the following information pertaining to your current primary representative to the IACBE, i.e., the person who is your primary contact for the IACBE and who votes on behalf of the academic

1. For each of your IACBE-accredited business programs, provide the total headcount enrollment and the number of degrees conferred in the program (including each major, concentration, specialization, and emphasis) for 2011-12 (insert rows in the table as needed):

Program	Enrollment 2012-13	Number of Degrees Conferred 2012-13
Bachelor of Business Administration	60	
Bachelor of Arts in Communication & Public Relations	7	
Bachelor of Arts in International Relations	11	
MBA with a major in:		
International Business	22	
Public Relations & Communication	2	
Global Banking & Corporate Finance	13	

Totals for All Programs Combined	Totals for All Programs Combined			
(Please do not double-count students who pursued multiple programs during the reporting year, e.g., students who double-majored in both accounting and finance.)		115		
Do you offer any of your IACBE-accredited bus	siness progr	ams outside of y	our h	ome country?
X No. If no, proceed to item 3 below.				
Yes. If yes, please identify the programs and count in partnership with other institutions, please ident				
Program	Country	or Countries	Pai	rtner Institution(s)
Did you terminate any business programs during the reporting year? X No. If no, proceed to item 4 below. Yes. If yes, please identify the terminated programs in the table below. (Insert rows in the table as needed.)				
Terminated Programs				
Were changes made in any of your business programs?				
X No. If no, proceed to item 5 below.				
Yes. If yes, please identify the changes on a separate page at the end of this report.				
Were any new business programs (including new majors, concentrations, specializations, and/or emphases) established during the academic year?				
No. If no, proceed to the <i>Outcomes Assessment</i> section below.				
X Yes. If yes, please identify the new programs on a s	eparate page	at the end of this re	port, aı	nd answer item 6 below.

6. If applicable, was approval of your institutional accrediting body required for any of the programs

identified in item 5 above?

2.

3.

4.

5.

	No. If no, proceed to the <i>Outcomes Assessment</i> section below.
	Yes. If yes, please attach a copy of the material that you sent to your institutional accrediting body.
	<u>Outcomes Assessment</u>
1.	Has your outcomes assessment plan been submitted to the IACBE?
	Yes
	No. If no, when will the plan be submitted to IACBE?
2.	Is the original or revised outcomes assessment plan that you submitted to the IACBE still current or have you made changes?
	X The outcomes assessment plan that we have previously submitted is still current.
	Changes have been made and the revised plan is attached.
	We have made changes and the revised plan will be sent to the IACBE by:

No. If we arrested to the Outcomes Assessment continue below.

3. Complete the Outcomes Assessment Results form below and include it with this annual report to the IACBE. Note: Section II of the form (Operational Assessment) needs to be completed only if you received first-time accreditation or reaffirmation of accreditation after January 1, 2011.

Other Issues

Briefly comment on other issues pertaining to your academic business unit that you would like to share with the IACBE.

EU continues to be active an active member of EFMD, EABIS and The Peter Drucker Society. Annual and Quality reports have been duly submitted to EU accrediting bodies (IACBE; ACBSP & CEEMAN). These reports have been accepted and, therefore, EU's accredited status remains in good standing. European University continues to be a PRME signatory and posted its latest SIP in September 2013.

Outcomes Assessment Results

For Academic Year: 2012-13

Section I: Student Learning Assessment

Student Learning Assessment for Undergraduate Business Program

All bachelor programs follow the same core courses (70% of the program) and, therefore, have shared learning outcomes.

Intended Student Learning Outcomes for (Program 1):

- a. Graduates will be prepared to pursue higher level studies or for entry into a first management position
- b. Students will demonstrate an understanding of current business issues through required readings and research
- c. Students will develop knowledge and understanding of their chosen specialization.
- d. Students will apply theoretical knowledge to practical situations.
- e. Students will develop analytical and diagnostic skills, demonstrating a move away from knowledge-only based learning to practical application of knowledge.
- f. Students will, through group-based tasks, demonstrate proficiency in negotiation skills and become team players.
- g. Students will, through individual tasks and working to a clearly defined timetable, demonstrate the ability to work independently and adhere to deadlines.
- h. Oral and written communications skills will be shown; through specific core courses and through the presentation and discussion tasks which are required in many of the program courses.
- i. Students will demonstrate an understanding of diversity issues and will demonstrate skills related to working in a multi-cultural environment, learn to appreciate cultural differences and embrace working within such an environment.

Assessment Tools for Intended Student Learning Outcomes— Direct Measures of Student Learning:	Performance Targets/Criteria for Direct Measures:
1. Final GPA Achievement	90% of all students on the undergraduate degree course will achieve a GPA of 2.0 at the end of their program
2. Thesis Research Project	All students on the undergraduate program will achieve a minimum GPA

	0f 2.0 on their research project, including ora	l defense	
Assessment Tools for Intended Student Learning Outcomes— Indirect Measures of Student Learning:	Performance Targets/Criteria for Indirect Me	easures:	
1. Students Exit Survey	At least 80% of graduating students from the undergraduate program will indicate an above average level of satisfaction from their learning experience and preparation		
2. Agents Feedback on the Program Meeting Industry Needs & Demands At least 80% of agents will grade the undergraduate program as very go or above in meeting industry needs and demands		n as very good	
Summary of Results from Implementing Direct Measures of Student Learning: Performance Target Was Met Not Met			Target Was
			Not Met
1. Final GPA Achievement X			
2. Thesis Research Project X			Х
Performance Target Was Summary of Results from Implementing Indirect Measures of Student Learning:			Target Was
Summary of Results from implementing munect weasures of student Le	carriing.	Met	Not Met
L. Students Exit Survey X			
2. Agent Feedback on the Program Meeting Industry Needs & Demands X			
Proposed Courses of Action for Improvement in Areas for which Performance Targets Were Not Met:			
1. The process of thesis registration will become more rigorous to include a pre-registration requirement that requires the thesis director to approve drafts before registration. All candidates must work with a thesis promoter during their research work development			

IACBE Annual Report: 2012-13

Student Learning Assessment for Graduate Business Program

All bachelor programs follow the same core courses (70% of the program) and, therefore, have shared learning outcomes.

Intended Student Learning Outcomes for (Program 1):

- a. Graduates will be prepared for entry into business, management and leadership positions.
- b. Students will demonstrate a firm understanding of current business issues through required readings and research
- c. Students will develop increased knowledge and understanding of their chosen specialization.
- d. Students will demonstrate analytical and diagnostic skills.
- e. Students will demonstrate proficiency in negotiation skills and become team players.
- f. Students will perform independently and adhere to deadlines.
- g. Students will demonstrate presentation and discussion skills.
- h. Students will develop skills at working in a multi-cultural environment, learn to appreciate cultural differences and embrace working within such an environment.
- i. Students will demonstrate scholarly writing skills, analytical skills, and conceptual skills.
- j. Students will demonstrate understanding of the interaction of different management functions, the nature of management as a process, the changing nature of the external environment.
- k. Students will demonstrate ethical awareness, develop interpersonal skills within an international community and become adept at living in a foreign environment.

Assessment Tools for Intended Student Learning Outcomes— Direct Measures of Student Learning:	Performance Targets/Criteria for Direct Measures:
1. Final GPA Achievement	90% of all students on the Graduate degree course will achieve a GPA of 3.0 at the end of their program
2. Capstone Research Project	All students on the Graduate program will achieve a GPA of 3.0 on their research project, including oral defense

Assessment Tools for Intended Student Learning Outcomes— Indirect Measures of Student Learning:	Performance Targets/Criteria for Indirect Measures:		
1. Students Exit Survey	At least 80% of graduating students will indicate an above average level of satisfaction from their learning experience and preparation		
Agents Feedback on the Program Meeting Industry Needs & Demands	At least 80% of agents will grade the Graduate program as very good or above in meeting industry needs and demands		
Summary of Results from Implementing Direct Measures of Student Learning:		Performance Target Was	
		Met	Not Met
1. Final GPA Achievement			Х
2. Capstone Research Project			Х
Summary of Results from Implementing Indirect Measures of Student Learning:		Performance Target Was	
		Met	Not Met
1. Students Exit Survey		Х	
2. Agents Feedback on the Program Meeting Industry Needs & Demands		Х	
Proposed Courses of Action for Improvement in Areas for which Performance Targets Were Not Met:			
1. More rigorous follow through of student's academic paths and progress have been put into place. Students in danger of not achieving the required minimum will be warned of at mid-term so that steps can be taken to address the situation.			
2. The process of capstone registration will become more rigorous to include a pre-registration requirement that requires the capstone director to approve drafts before registration. All candidates must work with a capstone promoter during their research work development			